NICOLA T. HANNA 1 United States Attorney BRANDON D. FOX Assistant United States Attorney FILED CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT Chief, Criminal Division KEVIN J. BUTLER (Cal. Bar No. Pending) Assistant United States Attorney November 7, 2019 4 General Crimes Section CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
BY: VPC DEPUTY 1200 United States Courthouse 5 312 North Spring Street Los Angeles, California 90012 6 Telephone: (213) 894-6495 Facsimile: (213) 894-0141 7 kevin.butler2@usdoj.gov E-mail: 8 Attorneys for Plaintiff UNITED STATES OF AMERICA UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No. CR 18-00876-SJO 12 Plaintiff, [PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING 13 TRIAL DATE AND FINDINGS REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME 14 v. PERIODS PURSUANT TO SPEEDY TRIAL ANDERSON SAM BONILLA ECHEGOYEN, ACT 15 aka "Anderson Samuel Bonilla," 16 aka "Anderson Sam Echegoyen," aka "Anderson Sam Bonilla-17 Echegoyen," 18 Defendant. 19 20 The Court has read and considered the Corrected Stipulation 21 22

Regarding Request for (1) Continuance of Trial Date and (2) Findings of Excludable Time Periods Pursuant to Speedy Trial Act, filed by the parties in this matter on November 5, 2019. The Court hereby finds that the Stipulation, which this Court incorporates by reference into this Order, demonstrates facts that support a continuance of the trial date in this matter, and provides good

23

24

25

26

27

28

cause for a finding of excludable time pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161.

The Court further finds that: (i) the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and defendant in a speedy trial; (ii) failure to grant the continuance would be likely to make a continuation of the proceeding impossible, or result in a miscarriage of justice; and (iii) failure to grant the continuance would unreasonably deny defendant continuity of counsel and would deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

## THEREFORE, FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN:

- 1. The trial in this matter is continued from November 19, 2019 to January 14, 2020 @ 9:00 a.m.
- 2. The time period of November 19, 2019 to January 14, 2020, inclusive, is excluded in computing the time within which the trial must commence, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), (h)(7)(B)(i), and (B)(iv).
- 3. Nothing in this Order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excluded from the period within which trial must commence. Moreover, the same provisions and/or other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act may in the future authorize the exclusion of

24 //

25 //

26 //

27 //

## 

1	additional time periods from the period within which trial must
2	commence.
3	
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.
5	November 7, 2019. 5. Jame Oten
6	- <u>-</u>
7	DATE HONORABLE S. JAMES OTERO UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
8	CC: PRETRIAL SERVICE
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>	
26	
27	
28	
-	